IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Bradley Shane Sh	eppard,)
	Petitioner,) Cr. No. 0:15-03081-MBS
VS.))) OPPER
L.R. Thomas,) ORDER
	Respondent.)
)

Petitioner Bradley Shane Sheppard is a prisoner in custody of the Bureau of Prisons who currently is housed at the Federal Correctional Institution – Edgefield in Edgefield, South Carolina. On August 5, 2015, Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, asserting that he is being detained illegally. Petitioner alleges that a prior conviction used to sentence him as an armed career criminal does not qualify as a crime of violence under 18 U.S.C. 924(e). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for a Report and Recommendation.

The Magistrate Judge reviewed the petition pursuant to the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. § 2254; the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1955, and other legal precedents. The Magistrate Judge determined that Petitioner's remedy is to bring an action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation on October 21, 2015, recommending that the § 2241 petition be dismissed without prejudice. In a footnote, the Magistrate Judge noted the possible application of Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), but observing that, since Johnson had not been determined to be retroactive to cases on collateral review, addressing the merits of a Johnson claim under § 2241 would be premature.

0:15-cv-03081-MBS Date Filed 07/15/16 Entry Number 25 Page 2 of 2

Since the issuance of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, Petitioner applied

for and received from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals authorization to file a successive habeas

application pursuant to Johnson. Petitioner filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on May 4,

2016. It appears that Petitioner has obtained an adequate remedy pursuant to § 2255. See In re Vial,

115 F.3d 1192, 1194 n.5 (4th Cir. 1997).

The court concurs in the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Petitioner's § 2241

motion is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file a return.

Additionally, Petitioner's Motion for Release of Prisoner (ECF No. 19) is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Margaret B. Seymour

Margaret B. Seymour

Senior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

July 13, 2016.

2